UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,216	02/13/2002	Tarja Pirttimaa	047092.00137	4809
	7590 10/17/200 DERS & DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER		
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE			PARTHASARATHY, PRAMILA	
14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 2	22182-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2436	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/073,216	PIRTTIMAA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	PRAMILA PARTHASARATHY	2436
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,9 and 22-28</u> is/are 5) Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> 6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,6-8,10,12-15,19-21,29,32-37</u> is/a 7) Claim(s) <u>4,5,11,16-18,30 and 31</u> is/are objecte 8) Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and/o</u>	withdrawn from consideration. re rejected. ed to.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the land drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the land drawing(s) is objected to be land drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/073,216 Page 2

Art Unit: 2436

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8, 10-21 and 29-37 have been considered. Examiner withdraws nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection and further details the prior art with respect to rejected instant claims.

Kennedy (2004/0252683) discloses an initiation of a communication session based on a determination (comparing source information and initiating a protection process) and the information exchanged from an independent application server to the nodes (user) included in the session. In particular, the applications complying with the session initiation protocol (SIP) application server is responsible for controlling which SIP clients are included in a session (initiating a protection process) that restricts an user with application server. Kennedy discloses the broadly claimed independent claims disclosing the subject matter in Summary and in particular, Fig. 2, 3 and 7A-c (associated text).

Examiner requests the Applicant to amend the claims in a manner to distinct applicant's invention with prior art with *attention* given to the instant specification paragraphs [0065 -0069 and 0078-0082] as depicted in Fig. 2A, 2B and 3. In particular, amend the independent claims to explicitly disclose the following: protection mechanism; parameters specifying such protection mechanism; protection methods (cryptographic algorithms); and SIP-level protection (SIPSec) to overcome prior art rejection. Additionally, Examiner suggest amending the claims with already allowed subject matter that is disclosed in dependent claims 4, 5, 11, 16, 17, 18, 30, 31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 2436

Claims 1, 3, 6 - 8, 10, 12 - 15, 19 - 21, 29, and 32 - 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kennedy et al. (US Publication 2004/0252683).

As per Claims 1, 13, 36 and 37 Kennedy teaches "receiving a message from a terminal device connected to a packet data network; deriving a first source information from said message; deriving a second source information; comparing said first and second source information; initiating a protection processing based on the result of said comparing; and providing secure access to said packet data network based on said protection processing (paragraph [0062 – 0067].

6. Claims 3, 6 – 8, 10, 12, 14 – 15, 19 – 21, 29 – 35, are rejected by the virtue of their dependency on rejected parent claims and further more, Kennedy teaches "protection process", "first and second source information is an Internet protocol address", "session initiation protocol message" and "proxy call/server" (paragraph [0062 – 0067]).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4, 5, 11, 16, 17, 18, 30, 31, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PRAMILA PARTHASARATHY whose telephone number is (571)272-3866. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00a.m. to 5:00p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/073,216 Page 4

Art Unit: 2436

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pramila Parthasarathy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2436 October 14, 2008